## 2006-2007 SES PRELIMINARY EVALUATION REPORT

## **DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

**PROVIDER NAME:** Elkhart 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Center

DISTRICTS SERVED: Concord Com. Schools, Elkhart Com. Sch. Corp.

# **OF STUDENTS SIGNED UP:** 146 (English/Language Arts & Math)

2006-2007 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: A-

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

SERVICE DELIVERY: A-

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS: C-

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

### **CUSTOMER SATISFACTION**

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 32%

Overall score: 3.5 out of 4.0

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting: 50%

District recommends continuation?: 100% of districts recommend continuation

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 40%

Overall Score: 3.4 out of 4.0

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: A-

# **SERVICE DELIVERY**

PARENT REPORT				
% of parents reporting:	32%			
Overall score:	3.4 out of 4.0			
DISTRICT REPORT:				
% of districts reporting:	50%			
Overall score:	88% (14/16 possible points)			
PRINCIPAL REPORT:				
% of principals reporting:	40%			
Overall score:	3.5 out of 4.0			
ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:	3.7 out of 4.0			
Go to (http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMosite%20Monitoring%20Report%20FINAL%204-13-07.pd				
SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:	<b>A-</b>			
ACADEMIC EF	FECTIVENESS			
COMPLETION RATE:	49%			
% OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS	74%			
TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:	Plato Achieve Now!			
% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS	66%			
% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS: (Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at	72.1%			

In order to be included in the ISTEP+ analysis provided below, students must have completed 80% of their SES sessions, must not have been retained from 2006 to 2007, and must have ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007.

least one session)

### **ISTEP+ DATA** (included in academic effectiveness grade):

#### SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For students served by Elkhart 21<sup>st</sup> CCLC in 2006-2007, 72% made scale score gains on ISTEP+ in English/Language Arts and 77% made gains for Math, exceeding the statewide average for all SES students in both subjects. 48% of students served by Elkhart 21<sup>st</sup> CCLC showed substantial (one year's) growth in E/LA, slightly trailing the statewide average for all SES students, and 64% showed substantial (one year's) growth in Math, exceeding the statewide average for all SES students. The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ decreased from 30% to 26% for English/Language Arts, but increased from 21% to 34% in Math.

Category	Elkhart 21 <sup>st</sup> CCLC (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	Elkhart 21 <sup>st</sup> CCLC (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
	,	,	, ,	,
# of students	46	1675	47	1645
% showing growth on				
ISTEP+ scale score	72%	71%	77%	73%
% showing substantial				
(one year's) growth on				
ISTEP+ scale score**	48%	49%	64%	49%
% passing ISTEP+				
(2006)	30%	43%	21%	52%
% passing ISTEP+				
(2007)	26%	42%	34%	51%

<sup>\*</sup>Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions, were not retained from grades 2006-2007, and have ISTEP+ scores for 2006 and 2007.

#### SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

When possible, each student who participated in SES, completed 80% of his or her sessions, and had ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007 was matched with a similar student who was eligible for but did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2006 ISTEP+ scale score. The charts below provide the results of the matched comparison. The matched comparison provides a context in which to place the gains or losses made by SES students. By looking at the charts below, it can be determined whether students served by this SES provider performed about the same as similar students who did not participate in SES; worse than similar students who did not participate in SES; or better than similar students who did not participate in SES. For Elkhart 21<sup>st</sup> CCLC, 33 matches out of 47 participating students (70%) were made for Math, and 34 matches out of 46 participating students (74%) were made for English/Language Arts.

MATHEMATICS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES			79%	73%	29.0	46%
Not SES	33	70%	82%	64%	33.4	39%

<sup>\*\*</sup>Substantial growth (one year's growth) is defined as making a large enough scale score gain to pass ISTEP+ from one year to the next.

As shown in the chart above, 82% of the non-participating but eligible students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to slightly fewer (79%) of the similar SES students. However, 73% of the SES students showed substantial (one year's) growth, compared to 64% of the similar non-SES students. More SES students (46%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007 than non-SES students (39%).

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES			74%	50%	20.5	24%
Not SES	34	74%	85%	56%	29.3	35%

As shown in the chart above, 85% of the non-SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to fewer (74%) of the SES participating students. In addition, more non-SES students (56%) showed substantial (one year's) growth than SES students (50%). More non-SES students (35%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007 than SES students (24%).

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages). Additional statistical analyses, including results disaggregated by district and grade level, will be conducted in the statewide evaluation of SES 2006-2007, to be released by the fall of 2008.

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE: C-

**OVERALL GRADE:** B-